



The Future of Collateral Risk: Building Intelligent Credit Infrastructure

CHAPTER 08:

BORROWER BEHAVIOR AS A RISK SIGNAL: EXTENSIONS, CAPITAL CALLS, AND BORROWER DISTRESS BEHAVIOR

JUNE 22, 2026 | REAGAN SCHWARZLOSE, FRICS | MAI | CRE | CCIM

Collateral risk is not only about property value, income, or market conditions. Borrower behavior often reveals stress before formal default, valuation decline, or financial reporting deterioration becomes visible.

Borrowers Signal Stress Before Loans Default

Lenders commonly wait for payment default, covenant failure, or updated valuations before acknowledging that a credit relationship has entered a period of elevated risk. This approach is understandable. These are the formal indicators that credit systems are built to detect. But they are lagging indicators. By the time a payment is missed or a covenant is violated, the underlying stress has typically been building for months.

Borrowers reveal that stress through behavior long before a loan is formally impaired. They begin submitting financial statements late or incompletely. They ask for extensions rather than pursuing refinancing. They stop returning calls with the same frequency. They request relief on covenants they previously met without difficulty. They defer maintenance that earlier in the relationship they would have funded promptly. These behaviors are not random. They are signals, and institutions that learn to read them systematically will identify deteriorating credits earlier and with more options to respond.

Borrower Behavior Is Part of Collateral Risk

Collateral risk is frequently discussed in terms of property value, occupancy, income, and market conditions. These are important dimensions. But they do not fully

capture the risk, because the performance of a commercial real estate asset is not independent of the people managing it.

Borrower actions directly influence whether a collateral asset maintains or loses value over time. A borrower who funds repairs consistently, maintains active leasing efforts, provides timely and complete operating data, keeps reserves funded, and contributes new capital when property conditions require it is actively protecting the collateral. A borrower who does none of those things is, whether intentionally or not, allowing the asset to deteriorate.

A strong property can decline quickly under a weak or disengaged sponsor. Deferred maintenance accumulates. Leasing pipelines go unworked. Tenant relations suffer. The physical asset that secured the loan at origination is no longer the same asset when the borrower's attention and capital have been withdrawn. Lenders who monitor only the property, and not the borrower's engagement with it, are working with an incomplete picture of risk.

Common Borrower Behavior Warning Signs

Certain borrower behaviors appear consistently in credits that later develop into serious problems. Institutions should track these behaviors systematically rather than relying on relationship managers to surface concerns informally.

Late or incomplete financial statements are among

the most common early signals. A borrower who was previously timely with rent rolls and operating statements and who has become inconsistent is communicating something, even when no explanation is offered. Repeated requests for loan extensions, particularly when the stated rationale shifts over time, may indicate a failed refinance strategy rather than temporary market conditions. Requests for covenant relief, deferred interest, or deferred principal suggest that the borrower's financial position has changed in ways that have not yet appeared in formal reporting.

Failure to fund capital improvements, accumulating deferred maintenance, reduced leasing activity, and unexplained management turnover are operational warning signs that affect collateral performance directly. Increased disputes with tenants or contractors may indicate that the borrower is managing cash flow tightly in ways that create downstream property risk. Evasive or diminished communication from a borrower who was previously accessible is itself a meaningful signal, even in the absence of any specific event.

Taken individually, each of these behaviors may have an innocent explanation. Taken together, or in a pattern over time, they describe a borrower under stress.

Extensions, Capital Calls, and Equity Exhaustion

Three specific borrower behaviors deserve focused attention because they carry particular implications for collateral risk.

Loan extensions are not inherently problematic. Market conditions sometimes make a single extension the most prudent course of action for both borrower and lender. But repeated extensions over successive cycles often indicate something different. They may reflect a refinance strategy that has not succeeded, collateral performance that does not support new financing at the required proceeds level, or a borrower who has concluded that recognizing the loss on the asset is the worse outcome and is therefore deferring that recognition as long as possible. Each extension that passes without improvement in the underlying credit position narrows the eventual options.

Capital calls are evidence of sponsor engagement, and a borrower who contributes additional equity in response to property-level stress is demonstrating commitment to the asset. But failed capital calls, or situations in which sponsors are unable to raise capital from investors who were previously supportive, reveal deteriorating confidence in the investment thesis. When investors who know the asset best are unwilling to commit further capital, that unwillingness is itself a risk signal that the lender should take seriously.

Equity exhaustion occurs when a borrower stops investing in the asset entirely. Maintenance is deferred. Leasing costs are avoided. Capital improvements are suspended. At this stage, the borrower may still be making loan payments, but the collateral is declining in condition and value while the institutional record shows a performing credit. The gap between reported loan performance and actual collateral condition can be significant, and it typically becomes visible at the worst possible moment: at maturity, during a revaluation, or when a major tenant gives notice.

Turning Behavior Into Structured Risk Data

Borrower behavioral signals are only useful as risk management tools if they are captured in structured, accessible form. Notes in a relationship manager's file, observations in email threads, or informal recollec-

tions during credit review meetings are not sufficient. They do not aggregate across the portfolio, they do not trigger automated review, and they are not visible to credit oversight or audit functions.

Institutions should establish structured fields for capturing borrower behavior over time. These fields should include reporting timeliness and completeness, communication quality and responsiveness, extension and modification history, reserve funding status, capital contribution history, maintenance funding behavior, leasing activity status, and sponsor responsiveness to information requests. When this information is captured consistently and accessibly, it becomes possible to identify patterns, compare credits across the portfolio, and recognize clusters of behavioral risk that would otherwise remain invisible until they became payment problems.

Integrating Borrower Signals Into Risk Ratings

Borrower behavior should have a defined influence on risk ratings and collateral monitoring decisions. A property with stable occupancy but persistent borrower reporting delays presents a different risk profile than its financial metrics alone would suggest. A loan with acceptable debt service coverage but a history of multiple extension requests should be evaluated for refinance feasibility well before the next maturity date. A borrower who has stopped funding capital improvements may be signaling collateral deterioration that a current inspection would confirm.

The goal of integrating behavioral signals into risk ratings is not to apply penalties to borrowers for administrative issues. It is to ensure that the institution's view of credit risk reflects the full picture of what is known about both the asset and the people managing it. A risk rating that reflects only financial ratios and property metrics, while ignoring documented behavioral signals, is an incomplete rating.

Governance and Escalation Protocols

Behavioral signals require institutional responses that

are defined in advance, not determined case by case when a problem has already become serious. Credit policy should establish clear escalation protocols tied to specific behaviors. Two consecutive periods of late financial reporting should trigger a credit review. An extension request should automatically prompt updated valuation review and a refinance feasibility assessment. A deferred maintenance report should require a property inspection within a defined time-frame. A failed capital call should initiate a borrower liquidity review. Repeated covenant relief requests should trigger consideration of watchlist placement.

When behavioral triggers are connected to specific institutional actions, the institution's response to emerging risk becomes consistent, documented, and defensible. Regulators and auditors can observe not only what happened but when the institution knew and what it did in response.

Behavior Is Intelligence

Borrower behavior is one of the most practical early warning systems available to commercial lenders. It is observable before financial statements deteriorate. It is actionable before collateral values decline. And it is accessible to any institution willing to build the systems to capture and evaluate it consistently.

Institutions that track borrower behavior as a formal component of collateral risk management will detect stress earlier, enter borrower conversations with better information, and retain more options for resolution before a situation requires formal workout. The future of collateral risk management depends not only on values and ratios, but on the quality of behavioral intelligence that institutions build and apply throughout the credit lifecycle.

Four Corners Valuations supports a broader view of collateral intelligence that includes property performance, market conditions, valuation support, and borrower behavior. Through structured reporting, field-based insight, and risk-aware valuation work-

flows, we help institutions recognize when borrower actions may be signaling deeper collateral stress before it becomes visible in default metrics.

Licensed Professionals



Our licensed valuation professionals are available to support clients in the following states:

| | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Alabama | Illinois | Ohio |
| Arizona | Indiana | Oklahoma |
| Arkansas | Kentucky | Pennsylvania |
| California | Maryland | South Carolina |
| Colorado | Massachusetts | Tennessee |
| Connecticut | Michigan | Texas |
| Delaware | Missouri | Utah |
| District of Columbia | North Carolina | Virginia |
| Florida | North Dakota | Washington |
| Georgia | New Jersey | West Virginia |
| Hawaii | New York | Wisconsin |
| Idaho | Nevada | |



Reagan R. Schwarzlose

FRICS | MAI | CRE | CCIM

CEO | Principal

+1-480-440-2842 Ext. 06

rschwarzlose@fourcv.com

www.fourcv.com

Affiliations:



+1.844.821.4328
www.fourcv.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS

USA

Chicago - Dallas - Houston - Phoenix - Tucson - Washington, DC

INTERNATIONAL

Argentina - Barbados - Brazil - Chile - Ecuador - Guatemala - India - Israel
Mexico - Morocco - Panama - Paraguay - Peru - Philippines - Puerto Rico
Spain - UAE

VALUATIONS • MARKET / FEASIBILITY STUDIES • LITIGATION SUPPORT • CONSULTING
ESTATES • BANKRUPTCY • PROBATE • DIVORCE • DAMAGED PROPERTY • PARTNERSHIP SOLUTION • MINORITY INTEREST ESTIMATES