



Beyond Borders: A Global Perspective of International Real Estate Valuation

CHAPTER 59:

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF VALUATION PRACTICES IN DIFFERENT LEGAL SYSTEMS: COMMON LAW VS. CIVIL LAW

AUGUST 19, 2025 | BRUCE D. GREENBERG, FRICS | MAI | SRA | ASA | ARM

In today's increasingly interconnected global real estate market, valuation professionals frequently find themselves operating across jurisdictions with very different legal foundations. At the heart of this divergence lie two dominant legal traditions: Common Law, widely used in countries such as the United States, United Kingdom, Canada, and Australia; and Civil Law, which forms the legal backbone of most European, Latin American, and Asian countries including France, Germany, Japan, and Brazil.

Each legal system brings its own philosophies, regulatory expectations, and practical implications for property valuation. For professionals working on international assignments—particularly in the legal, investment, or tax sectors—understanding how these legal traditions shape valuation methodology, reporting, and professional liability is crucial.

Legal Philosophies and Their Influence on Valuation

The Common Law system is built on the principle of judicial precedent. Courts interpret laws and previous rulings, and valuation practices often evolve with these legal interpretations. This legal flexibility supports a more discretionary approach to property valuation, where the professional judgment of the appraiser, supported by market evidence and reasoned analysis, plays a central role.

In contrast, Civil Law systems are grounded in codified statutes and legislative frameworks. Legal interpretation is more rigid, and case law plays a far less prominent role. Valuation practices in these

jurisdictions are often dictated by detailed rules that leave little room for professional interpretation or deviation. The focus tends to be on compliance—following precise formulas, prescribed assumptions, and standardized formats. This divergence in legal reasoning leads to practical differences in how valuations are conducted, reviewed, and contested.

Methodological and Procedural Divergence

In Common Law jurisdictions, valuation reports are frequently narrative in structure. They are designed to build a persuasive argument rooted in real-world market behavior. Valuers are often called upon to justify their assumptions in legal proceedings, where their credibility, expertise, and the defensibility of their methods are critical. Courts in these systems often give substantial weight to expert witness testimony. As a result, valuers must be meticulous in documenting their thought process, alternative scenarios, and the rationale for chosen methodologies.

On the other hand, in Civil Law countries, the report may be more formulaic—structured to meet statutory requirements rather than to argue a position. The valuer's role is more administrative than adversarial, particularly in legal contexts such as expropriation or tax assessment, where fixed methodologies are often imposed by law. In these settings, innovation in valuation technique is less welcomed; consistency with official guidance is paramount.

Another point of divergence lies in qualifications. In

Common Law systems, professional organizations such as the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) or the Appraisal Institute regulate practitioners, emphasizing continuing professional development and ethical guidelines. In many Civil Law jurisdictions, valuation is tightly regulated by government authorities, and only licensed experts—often registered through national boards—may produce legally binding valuations.

Legal Disputes and Professional Liability

The adversarial nature of Common Law litigation gives rise to a valuation environment where disputes are common and valuation professionals are frequently involved in legal proceedings. Here, the ability to withstand cross-examination and defend methodologies is vital. This makes flexibility in approach and a deep understanding of market evidence both a strength and a necessity.

Civil Law systems, however, favor administrative processes over courtroom battles. Disputes regarding valuation often pass through regulatory bodies or government agencies, and the emphasis is on whether procedures and formulas were correctly applied. This can make the work of a valuer in a Civil Law country feel more like compliance management than market interpretation.

Liability also varies. In Common Law jurisdictions, valuation professionals can face significant legal exposure. Errors in judgment can lead to litigation, making professional indemnity insurance a standard necessity. In Civil Law systems, liability may be more limited, especially when methodologies are mandated by law and the valuer merely implements them.

Navigating the Divide: A Practical Perspective

For professionals engaged in cross-border work, these differences are more than academic—they have real implications on how to prepare, present, and justify a valuation. For instance, a U.S.-based firm tasked with valuing a logistics facility in Germany must recognize that German valuation law requires specific methods such as the capitalized earnings value (Ertragswertverfahren), rather than the discounted cash flow models commonly used in the U.S.

Similarly, a French appraiser engaged in a UK legal case may need to move beyond statutory valuation frameworks and produce a more interpretive and market-driven analysis that satisfies the standards of English case law and the RICS “Red Book.”

In both cases, the ability to adapt—methodologically, linguistically, and legally—is what distinguishes a competent international valuer from one who is merely technically skilled in a single jurisdiction.

Toward Harmonization?

Efforts are underway to bridge these legal and methodological divides. The International Valuation

Standards (IVS) serve as a global benchmark that attempts to unify terminology and principles, offering a shared reference point for professionals operating in both legal systems. However, while IVS is gaining recognition, it rarely overrides national legal requirements. Thus, even with international standards, local legal traditions continue to shape the “how” and “why” of valuation practice.

Conclusion

Valuation is not merely a financial or technical exercise—it is also a legal one. The contrast between Common Law and Civil Law systems profoundly influences how valuation is practiced, justified, and interpreted. For professionals working in international contexts, appreciating these legal underpinnings is essential to providing credible, defensible, and context-appropriate valuations.

As globalization continues to blur national boundaries in investment, development, and litigation, valuation professionals must move beyond familiarity with market data and financial modeling. They must become fluent in the legal and procedural logic that governs valuation in diverse jurisdictions. Whether working in London, Tokyo, Toronto, or Paris, the success of a valuation assignment increasingly depends on a professional’s ability to bridge

not just geographic gaps—but legal ones as well.

Sources & Citations

1. International Valuation Standards Council (IVSC). (2022). International Valuation Standards. <https://www.ivsc.org/standards/international-valuation-standards>
2. Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS). (2022). RICS Valuation – Global Standards (Red Book). <https://www.rics.org>
3. The European Group of Valuers’ Associations (TEGoVA). (2022). European Valuation Standards (EVS). <https://www.tegova.org>
4. Amidu, A. R., & Aluko, B. T. (2007). Ethics and professionalism in the valuation practice: The Nigerian experience. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*, 11(2), 85–98.
5. Lorenz, D., & Lützkendorf, T. (2008). Sustainability in property valuation: Theory and practice. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 26(6), 482–521.
6. Brueggeman, W. B., & Fisher, J. D. (2011). *Real Estate Finance and Investments*. McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
7. Gilbertson, B., & Preston, D. (2005). A vision for valuation. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 23(4), 368–397.



Bruce D. Greenberg
 FRICS | MAI | SRA | ASA | ARM
 Managing Director | Principal

+1-480-440-2842 Ext. 01
 bdgreenberg@fourcv.com
 www.fourcv.com



FOUR CORNERS VALUATIONS
REAL ESTATE ADVISORY SERVICES

Four Corners Valuations delivers exceptional valuation and advisory services across the US and globally, offering a unique blend of local expertise and international experience. Utilizing advanced technology and superior data sources, we ensure accurate and comprehensive valuations for developers, investors, lenders, and other stakeholders. With licensed professionals across the United States and experienced in more than 60 countries, we are dedicated to excellence and committed to guiding our clients towards informed and successful decisions.

+1.480.440.2842
www.fourcv.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS

USA

Chicago - Dallas - Houston - Los Angeles - Phoenix - Tucson - Washington, DC

INTERNATIONAL

Argentina - Barbados - Brazil - Chile - Ecuador - Guatemala - India - Israel
Mexico - Morocco - Panama - Paraguay - Peru - Philippines - Puerto Rico
Spain - UAE

VALUATIONS • MARKET / FEASIBILITY STUDIES • LITIGATION SUPPORT • CONSULTING
ESTATES • BANKRUPTCY • PROBATE • DIVORCE • DAMAGED PROPERTY • PARTNERSHIP SOLUTION • MINORITY INTEREST ESTIMATES